Ref	Date	Report	Recommendation	Agreed?	Response	Continue to monitor?	Update Scheduled
						Yes - Panel to request update on the development of the Biodiversity	
0001	09-Ma	r-23 Development of a Biodiversity Strategy for Oxford	That the Council seeks to ensure that, amongst others, Oxford University's Biodiversity Network and the HERO project are represented on the steering group.	i In Part	We will take into account these recommendations when setting up the steering group.	Strategy more generally, including an update in relation to recommendations made on 09 March 2023 and 27 June 2023.	26-Feb-25
0002	09-Ma	-23 Development of a Biodiversity Strategy for Oxford	That the Council, in conducting the baseline exercise, takes account of shifting baseline syndrome.	In Part	Historic data for the sites in question may be impossible / impractical to recover, and we may not be able to do so in a consistent way that offers meaningful insights.	Yes - Panel to request update on the development of the Biodiversity Strategy more generally, including an update in relation to recommendations made on 09 March 2023 and 27 June 2023.	26-Feb-25
			That the Council considers how best to move away from the use of chemicals in land maintenance as		A further review of the use of herbicides and pesticides by ODS on behalf of the Council will be undertaken this year which will explore the costs and efficacy of the Council ending the use of glyphosates including assessing the alternatives used by other Councils in England. It would not be	went to Scrutiny and Cabinet in December 2023; further monitoring	
0003		-23 Development of a Biodiversity Strategy for Oxford	a matter of urgency. That the Council commissions or conducts its own audits of the eight Sites of Special Scientific	In Part	appropriate to pre-determine the outcome of that review.	not required following outcome of that report. Yes - Panel to request update on the development of the Biodiversity Strategy more generally, including an update in relation to recommendations made on 09 March 2023 and 27 June 2023.	
0004			Interest in the near future in order to understand their current condition.	In Part	We will evaluate the optimal approach to assessing the SSSIs in conjunction with the Steering Group. The Council has a range of policies in place to ensure the protection of mature trees. However, the council also has a liability to ensure dangerous trees are felled promptly. Where this is necessary, they will be offset with new trees in the same location if suitable, or an alternative location with a greater viability to support a healthy trees. Protection of trees is also considered within planning alongside other local planning priorities including housing delivery within the city.	No - already a Council policy	26-Feb-25
0005		-23 Development of a Biodiversity Strategy for Oxford	That the Council commits to ensuring that the Biodiversity Strategy is developed in line with the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework and, also, at least in alignment with Government targets to halt decline of species under the Environment Act 2021.	Yes	No commentary provided.	Yes - Panel to request update on the development of the Biodiversity Strategy more generally, including an update in relation to recommendations made on 09 March 2023 and 27 June 2023.	26-Feb-25
0007		-23 Development of a Biodiversity Strategy for Oxford	That the Council takes account of the interconnectedness between the policies in the Local Plan and the Biodiversity Strategy when developing both documents and ensures that these links are emphasised and appropriately cross-referenced.	Yes	No commentary provided.	Yes - Panel to request update on the development of the Biodiversity Strategy more generally, including an update in relation to recommendations made on 09 March 2023 and 27 June 2023.	26-Feb-25
0008		23 Fleet Decarbonisation	That the Council should commit to working with ODS to explore how ODS could include electric cargo bicycles in its fleet.	Yes	These discussions are already taking place.	Yes - Panel to request update on fleet decarbonisation, including an update in relation to the recommendation made on 09 March 2023. Update will not be available until 2025 calendar year at the earliest.	
0009	27-Ju	DRAFT Carbon Reduction and Sustainable Retrofit Guidance for +23 Historic Buildings Technical Advice Note	That the Council reviews the language used in the TAN to ensure it is accessible to residents and incorporates a glossary to explain technical terms.	Yes	The policy team will review the wording in the TAN and implement a simple glossary in line with the recommendations. The topic of retrofitting heritage assets in itself can be very technical, as is the legislation and guidance within national policy, not only because of the fast evolving nature of retro-fit technologies and practices but also because of the additional sensitivities that come with redevelopment associated with our most special heritage assets. As such, it will invariably require some level of technical expertise to fully address certain elements when it comes to this type of development. Whilst we have made every effort to explain the terminology and concepts in plain English within the text, we would agree that a glossary could be a helpful addition and are happy to add this in. The objective of the guidance in the TAN is to convey simple advice to assist applicants in approaching the design of retrofit projects for historic buildings so that their application has the best chances of success and we want the ensure that this is as effective as possible.		
57		DRAFT Carbon Reduction and Sustainable Retrofit Guidance for -23 Historic Buildings Technical Advice Note	That the Council includes more examples of successful domestic scale retrofit projects, including for non-listed buildings in conservation areas, as well as in listed buildings.	Yes	The original intention of this updated TAN was to be published as an interim measure that could help address a gap in guidance on our website and to better align this with the city's net zero objectives. The TAN currently references a variety of best practice guidance in the appendix to provide further information and flags that this would then be supported by additional guidance including specific case studies from the city that could help illustrate best practice in an Oxford context. In order to not unnecessarily delay the publishing of the helpful information within the TAN we propose to progress with the examples in the draft, and update the document in the future with useful and illustrative case studies, noting that the range of different sensitivities within the city will mean that they will only be able to indicate possible solutions rather than provide a blue print for other applicants.	Yes - update to be requested in due course.	
0011		DRAFT Carbon Reduction and Sustainable Retrofit Guidance for -23 Historic Buildings Technical Advice Note	That the Council challenges its existing assumptions around customer experience in relation to retrofit applications and seeks to engage with organisations and individuals who have gone or are currently going through the retrofit process to understand their experiences and feed those into the TAN and the broader planning process to improve usability and overall customer experience.	Yes	The policy team and planning services more widely will continue to do its part in critically assessing its own performance and interactions with our broad customer base. Where there is scope to improve our services and the support we can provide, we will endeavour to incorporate this into our work. This may include future updates to the TAN as well as our wider resources and processes where appropriate.	Yes - update to be requested in due course.	
0012	27-Ju	DRAFT Carbon Reduction and Sustainable Retrofit Guidance for -23 Historic Buildings Technical Advice Note	That the Council reviews its existing Article 4 Directions to see whether they create unnecessary obstacles to applicants wanting to install carbon retrofit measures.	No	Whilst it is accepted that the Article 4 Directions were set up at a time predating the current net zero objectives in the city, a review of these is an extensive piece of work which will need to be considered in the longer term alongside other commitments, such as the extensive work related to the production of the 2040 Local Plan. Such commitment is beyond the scope of this TAN.	Yes - although in the long term	
0013		DRAFT Carbon Reduction and Sustainable Retrofit Guidance for -23 Historic Buildings Technical Advice Note	That the Council, looking at the approach taken by the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea,	No	We are aware of the approach undertaken by the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea and will consider the pros and cons of this and other approaches in liaison with key stakeholders such as heritage colleagues and Historic England in due course. The current priority is the new Local Plan and we have set out our intention to try to go further than current policy as part of our preferred options consultation, though the final approach is still under consideration and will need to align with national policy to be found sound by the inspector and pass examination.		
0014	27-Ju	DRAFT Carbon Reduction and Sustainable Retrofit Guidance for -23 Historic Buildings Technical Advice Note	That the Council makes it clear in the TAN and broader messaging that it supports retrofit applications in heritage and conservation areas and will actively support applicants to go through that process.	Yes	The genesis of this TAN was to more clearly support applicants in making the right choices when it comes to retrofitting their properties. The review agreed to in Recommendation 1 will help to amplify this. It is important to note that Technical Advice Notes have no statutory powers unlike the Local Plan. The role of these documents is only to provide additional guidance that supports interpretation of existing policies in the Local Plan - they are unable to go as far as establishing new policy for the city which is not in the Local Plan 2036. Ensuring we get the right balance between what can be set out in the TAN at present, what can help us move towards net zero objectives and support applicants, as well as what is required of us more broadly under national policy (including our statutory duty for conserving our important heritage assets as much as securing reductions in carbon dioxide emissions) is a challenging issue we have sought to address. The planning service provides a channel for actively supporting applicants as part of its pre-application service and through this service they are able to benefit from the advice of planning officers but also colleagues in the heritage team – we flag this clearly in the TAN in a couple of places as well as on our website.		
0015	27-Ju	DRAFT Carbon Reduction and Sustainable Retrofit Guidance for -23 Historic Buildings Technical Advice Note	That the Council takes a much clearer approach to setting out for householders and applicants what its response will be to proposals for specific retrofit measures, being clear about how that might vary from conservation area to conservation area.	Yes	The policy team will explore how we can be clearer in the guidance set out in the TAN, however there are limits to how simplified any high-level guidance such as the TAN can provide, especially in a city that has such a rich and varied historical context.	No - this topic is covered in section 2 of the published TAN	
0016	27-Ju	-23 Biodiversity Update	That the Council ensures the inclusion of its function as both a residential and institutional landlord within the biodiversity baseline assessment exercise.	Yes	It is vital that when considering the Council's duty to conserve and enhance biodiversity that its function as a landowner forms part of that consideration. This must extend to all property owned; while it may be easier to consider in relation to large plots of green space or agricultural land biodiversity should be considered in all contexts.	Yes - Panel to request update on the development of the Biodiversity Strategy more generally, including an update in relation to recommendations made on 09 March 2023 and 27 June 2023.	26-Feb-25
0017	27-Ju	-23 Biodiversity Update	That the Council seeks to collaborate and exchange knowledge with other local landowners and institutions in the interests of promoting biodiversity citywide.	Yes	The Biodiversity Strategy will be a key vehicle for knowledge exchange, both the production of the document - which will require extensive engagement - and also likely its implementation.	Yes - Panel to request update on the development of the Biodiversity Strategy more generally, including an update in relation to recommendations made on 09 March 2023 and 27 June 2023.	26-Feb-25
0018	27-Ju	1-23 Biodiversity Update	That the Council suggests a dedicated biodiversity workstream be added to the Zero Carbon Oxford Partnership's existing workstreams.	Yes	N/A	No - Clir Brown updated at Cabinet in July 2023: The question was asked on 11 July, but ZCOP felt quite strongly that it didn't want to have a biodiversity workstream because it felt that would be duplicating existing work elsewhere, which ZCOP is very keen not to do. However ZCOP has undertaken to go ahead and convene a meeting of biodiversity leads across the main institutions that are part of ZCOP to see if there are ways in which people could work more closely together; so there won't be a workstream as such, but ZCOP will facilitate people getting together to liaise as much as possible around biodiversity.	
0019	27-Ju	-23 Biodiversity Update	That the Council continues to seek out emerging biodiversity best practice in other local authorities.	Yes	All councils are experiencing the same shifts in how to consider biodiversity, deriving form new responsibility relating to biodiversity net gain, an enhanced biodiversity duty, and new reporting requirements. Sharing and understanding best practice will be important to maximising the most of limited ecological resources at all Councils.	Yes - Panel to request update on the development of the Biodiversity Strategy more generally, including an update in relation to recommendations made on 09 March 2023 and 27 June 2023.	26-Feb-25

0032	29-Nov-23	Retrofit	That the Council compiles a list of local retrofit suppliers/installers to share publicly so that residents can see which suppliers are in the retrofit market.	No	is available here. Additionally, the Council's website gives signposted service to organisations who assess installers such as TrustMark, and the MCS certified installers list, where one can search via one's region to locate a suitable installer. Oxford City Council works in partnership with Better Housing Better Health to provide energy efficiency advice and access to grant funding for retrofit works. BHBH is run by the National Energy Foundation (NEF). NEF has a supplier network where TrustMark registered installers can be linke up to residents who qualify for funding such as ECO. The Council works with NEF to encourage suppliers to work with NEF and has requested NEF make this list public. A countywide source is the Climate Action Oxfordshire website offering a range of carbon cutting solutions including retrofit – it too signposts visitors to the site to local suppliers/organisations – e.g. this webpage for loft insulation or this webpage for energy assessments. The main energy homepage offers other options too.	d
0031	29-Nov-23		That the Council ensures an emphasis on two-way communication in relation to retrofit and maintains a list of interested community groups and areas of the city where residents are interested in community retrofit schemes, so that relevant information, intelligence and opportunities can be shared.	Yes	Community engagement does take place via project work and in our work with several community groups who have an interest in community retrofit schemes. These groups include: Communities for Zero Carbon, Oxford Local Carbon, Low Carbon Oxford North, Local Environmental Action Florence Park, Rose Hill & Iffley Low Carbon, Low Carbon Oxford South, Low Carbon West Oxford, Friends of the Earth Oxfordshire. The Council has engaged with these groups and provided advice and support to community-based retrofit initiatives in the following ways: Helped secure funding for community-based retrofit projects - e.g. Eco Open Doors event, which allows people to find and visit their neighbours to see what retrofit means in local context; assisted with promotion of community-based events and projects that focus on retrofit; assisted with dissemination of the Housewarming Guides – simplified and easy to use handbooks for retrofiting in Oxford, produced by Low Carbon Oxford North. Clean Heat Streett has worked closely with the Rose Hill and Iffley Low Carbon Group to provide a targeted community-based approach and keep retrofit knowledge a the centre of the community. Engagement work to promote grant funding is ongoing and includes staff presentations at community outreach event living clinics in-person at Templars Square and attend food larders to talk to residents about grants. The Council works with Low Carbon Hub who in turn work with CosyHomes who offer a number of retrofit services in Oxfordshire. More information is no engine with services in Oxfordshire. More information of the requires the open of the open offer with process in oxfordshire. More information of the community beased approach and the MCC	or a s t t t s Yes - upda
0030	29-Nov-23		That the Council, through its current Retrofit campaign and any future initiatives, ensures a focus on simplification and demystification of retrofit so that the options and processes are easily digestible by the public – thus encouraging uptake at scale.	Yes	The Council is delivering projects that aim to test retrofit solutions and give the public the opportunity to experience 'living examples' via open hou: events to see heat pumps and other retrofit solutions in situ. Two particular projects of note are: The Clean Heat Streets project sets to install up to 90 Air Source Heat Pumps in Rose Hill and Iffley. The project offers residents the opportunity to see heat pump installations and interact with her pump 'champions' in the local community. The project also works with a 'local convenor' who offers one-on-one support for residents through their retrofit journey, and provides clear, simple advice. The House Like Mine Project focusses on supporting residents, those on low income, and both Council and private tenants. The project also supports private landlords who house 32.2% of those that live in Oxford and therefore are a key stakeholder group to encourage retrofit solutions. The Council has created a webpage specifically to help these groups access advice and support for different types of retrofitting and grant opportunities, short films showcasing work already completed and actionable support to find an installer. The Council joint funds the Better Housing Better Health (BHBH) service with Oxfordshire County Council and the other districts. BHBH is a one-stop shop for energy advice. BHBH help us to administer and promote energy efficiency upgrade grants such as the Energy Company Obligation (ECO) scheme and the Great British Insulation Scheme (GBIS). We work together as a partnership to create useful materials on how to access grant fundir and they offer support to residents with the grant application process. The Council is severely constrained in terms of funding and resource to offer deeper engagement in these areas. To combat these limitations, the Council leverages information and advice compiled by others via national entities such as the Energy Saving Trust, and at a local level, groups such as Low Carbon Hub, and other likeminded groups to disseminate	se at r pr p
0028		Areas – September 2023 Review	enhancing biodiversity). That the Council ensures the most appropriate approach for enhancing biodiversity is taken in its parks and nature areas according to environment on a site-by-site basis – with a focus on the right approach in the right place, rather than a uniform approach across all sites.	No	Are strategic areas within this. This is very much already in place for the many habitats and other non-recreation and sports areas in OCC's parks and nature areas. This is particularly important in a location like Oxford where there is a significant variation in geology, hydrology and soil types. If managed empathetically these different topographies develop their own distinct habitats, flora and fauna, and thereby increase the overall range of biodiversity.	2024).
		Biodiversity Action Plan for Oxford City Council Parks and Nature	That the Council reviews the structure of its contracts with Oxford Direct Services in relation to parks and green space management in the longer-term, to ensure that there is clarity within those contracts as to whether the management of parks and green spaces is led by prescriptive inputs (certain amount of work over a defined period of time) or outputs (supporting, protecting and		There is a high level of commitment and expertise within ODS around the management of the green spaces for biodiversity. The OCC Biodiversity Review for its green spaces provides the Council's agreed approach and highlights the many habitat improvement projects and other conservation work successfully delivered over recent years. Whilst creating or completely renewing detailed specification contracts requires a significant amount of resource which isn't currently in place, the Council does have an OCC/ODS Client officer review meeting which might be appropriate to discuss	(linked to view that by Cabine
0026	29-Nov-23	Biodiversity Action Plan for Oxford City Council Parks and Nature Areas – September 2023 Review Biodiversity Action Plan for Oxford City Council Parks and Nature Areas – September 2023 Review	That the Council, in collaboration with partners who hold relevant data, maps data relating to landscape and habitat type onto a centralised system (e.g. GIS) and populates with information about particular sites or projects which require funding; and makes this publicly accessible so that Members, community groups and the general public can find and support local projects near to them.	No In Part	We recognise the benefits of this in line with the Scrutiny recommendation, however it would unfortunately require a significant amount of specialist officer time to coordinate, design, deliver and for ongoing review which is currently not budgeted or has identified officer capacity for. An option might be linked to the recent introduction of Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) and this might be able to provide funding for much of the habitat enhancement projects required in future years. There will be ongoing work by the ODS Countryside Team to facilitate volunteering opportunities where possible as part of their day-to-day work, and also through the City Council's Green Spaces team with Friends of park groups. It would be challenging to expand this further without additionar resource as the majority of people tend to volunteer at the weekends when there isn't dedicated resource. Officers would be able to continue to explore external funding opportunities that may link to this.	No - broa added to
0025	12-Sep-23	Air Pollution	That the Council considers how to identify and promote the broader benefits of action to improve air quality.	Yes	There is an extensive ongoing programme of communications around actions to improve air quality, and why this is important. Videos were created and posted featuring a consultant at the John Radcliffe Hospital specifically highlighting the health benefits of cleaner air. Last winter's Do You Fuel Good campaign targeting the use of wood burning stoves will be reprised this heating geason. A full public consultation and engagement programm is also planned in support of the Council's proposal to create a citywide smokeless controlled area (SCA), as approved by September Cabinet. While boaters will be exempt under the proposed new SCA, further communications are planned to support the Council's introduction of ecomoorings along the Oxford Canal, which will also highlight the broader benefits of action to improve air quality.	i ne
0023		Citywide Smoke Control Area Declaration	That the Council commits to working with the various boating communities (e.g. boaters living on permanent moorings and visiting boaters) to identify and address the barriers to these communities adopting cleaner fuel types; and explores grant funding opportunities to support the work to address these issues. That the Council produces an accessible and easily digestible executive summary to sit alongside the Annual Air Quality Status Report in future years; to include what the Council has done, next steps and any issues which are likely to delay progress on particular actions.	Yes	that has been recently awarded to the City Council, for the installation of ecomoorings at the visitor's moorings in Aristotle Lane. The eco-moorings project will see allocated specific funding for a Community Engagement Officer who will be expected to work together with the various boating communities on education, raising awareness, and on exploring future grant opportunities and/or retrofitting schemes that may become available to boaters to support their transition to cleaner and more sustainable sources of heating. A simplified one pager capturing actions and progress on tackling air quality will be produced alongside the existing Annual Air Quality Status Repor and press release materials. At the point the Council's existing Air Quality Action Plan needs to be updated in 2025, officers will review the format or the report to consider further design changes to improve accessibility.	Yes - repo issues in r meeting 2 t
0022	12-Sep-23	Citywide Smoke Control Area Declaration	That the Council ensures clear messaging in its publicity campaign that moored vessels are exempt from the Smoke Control Area, and communicates the rationale for the exemption.	Yes	Paragraph 33 of the Cabinet report already refers to moored vessels and explains one of the main reasons why they are exempt from this Smoke Control Area expansion. However, we will ensure that if this proposal is approved, our communication strategy to members of the public will includ specific elements about moored vessels to make it clear that they are exempt for the time being and why. The Council already expects to be developing work in this area through the Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) Grant funding	control-ar
0021	12-Sep-23	HRA Energy Efficiency Projects 2023/24	That the Council sets a timetable for achieving a full set of EPCs for its HRA properties.	Yes	The Council has a modelled EPC for all HRA properties; however, part of the delivery plan development is to quantify these with EPC surveys (where access is possible) and to update lodged EPCs where energy improvement works have been carried out. A timetable for EPC surveys beyond 2023/2 will be developed with a completion date set.	
0020	12-Sep-23	HRA Energy Efficiency Projects 2023/24	That the Council explores the full range of procurement options for the delivery of the projects, including alternative suppliers and the possibility of establishing medium-tolong term relationships with suppliers, contractors and sub-contractors.	Yes	A procurement route has not yet been determined for these works and a full range of procurement options will be explored in line with the constitution.	No - Scurt procurem Energy an planning t renewabl and 2024, operation installatio at its mee was (in pa monitorim

Io - Scurtiny Officer requested update on process/outcome of the	
rocurement exercise undertaken on behalf of the Panel and the inergy and Sustainability Manager advised that the Council is	
lanning to establish a framework for procuring installation of	
enewable technologies. It is proposed that works for both 2023/24 nd 2024/25 projects will be procured via this framework when it is	
perational. The Panel considered the report on 'Framework for the	
nstallation of renewable technologies in Council owned properties' t its meeting on 11 June 2024; the establishment of the Framework	
vas (in part) in response to this recommendation, so no further	
nonitoring required. 'es - Panel requested at its meeting on 11 June 2024 for an update	
on development of this timetable to be provided at its next meeting	
n September 2024.	10-Sep-24
es - awaiting implementation of SCA; Head of Corporate Strategy	
pproved the making of the under under delegated authority on 13 Aarch 2024 (no call in received), once the order is made it will need	
o be submitted to the Secretary of State for final approval. SCA due	
o come into effect on 01 December 2024 (see comms here: https://www.oxford.gov.uk/news/article/1486/oxford-s-smoke-	
ontrol-area-expansion-to-start-on-1-december-2024)	
es - report updating on eco-moorings project and other fuel-related ssues in relation to boating communities scheduled in for CEP	
neeting 20 November 2024.	20-Nov-24
es - Panel to monitor when report is submitted to Panel from 2023	
nwards (annual report).	10-Sep-24
es - update to be requested as part of Annual Air Quality Status	
leport 2023.	10-Sep-24
Io - broader work around Council-wide data/mapping exercise	
dded to Scrutiny Committee longlist 2024/25 for consideration.	
to - response cites resourcing issues to do more than is already	
eing done. 'es - there is a review of commissioning of services provided by ODS	
linked to Budget and MTFS); in light of this the Panel was of the	
iew that this recommendation should have perhaps been accepted y Cabinet. Panel to request update in due course as to the outcome	
of the review in relation to parks and green space management ontracts with ODS (review anticipated to conclude September	
024).	
Io - already a Council policy	
to - as Council already doing what it can with limited resource and	
everages other sources of information.	
es - update to be requested in due course.	
es - update to be requested in due course.	
'es - update to be requested in due course.	
'es - update to be requested in due course.	
'es - update to be requested in due course.	
'es - update to be requested in due course.	
es - update to be requested in due course.	

			That the Council coordinates with the other Oxfordshire Districts to establish basic Biodiversity Net		There is no statutory requirement for the Council to produce guidance on Biodiversity Net Gain monitoring and this area of policy and practice is still		
			Gain monitoring guidance for certain habitats to ensure a consistent set of principles and processes		in early stages of development. However, when resources allow, officers will seek to work with the other Oxfordshire local authorities to produce		
0033	27-Feb-2	24 Biodiversity Net Gain	across the county.	Yes	limited guidance on this.	Yes - update to be requested in due course.	
					· · ·		
					Officers are currently taking through internal project governance a proposal for funding that will assess the feasibility of using a number of Council-		
					owned land assets to provide Biodiversity Net Gain units. This would involve baseline ecological assessments. If feasible, it is expected agreement		
			That the Council, through its project to identify Council-owned land with the potential to provide	1	will be sought to designate the land for this purpose, which would need to involve a conservation covenant or planning obligation for at least 30		
			biodiversity units, seeks to identify sufficient sites so as to establish itself as a key provider of	1	years, to meet statutory requirements. However, the initial focus would be on demonstrating BNG delivery for the Council's own development needs	Ves - undate to be requested in due course. Officer decision re-	
			biodiversity units sees to identify sufficient sites so as to establish iteen as a key provider of biodiversity units locally, thus strongly encouraging the uptake of biodiversity units in Oxford or as			feasibility study made 30 April 2024:	
0024	27 Fab 2	24 Piedivorcity Not Gain					
0034	27-Feb-2	24 Biodiversity Net Gain	close to Oxford as possible.	In Part	the scale of its BNG work to establish itself as a provider of biodiversity units for other developers.	https://mycouncil.oxford.gov.uk/ieDecisionDetails.aspx?ID=2513	
				1	Officers are currently assessing the value in creating a Citywide Retrofit Strategy, incorporated under the Council itself or the Zero Carbon Oxford		
			That the Council incorporates all non-residential Council-owned buildings within the scope of the	1	Partnership. Action planning associated with such a strategy would need to include all non-residential Council-owned buildings regardless of		
			Citywide Retrofit Strategy and includes an approach within the strategy and associated action plan	1	whether or not the Council pays the energy bill. However, the significant uncertainty over the retrofit methodologies, financing and timelines for		
			to ensure improvements in energy efficiency of all non-residential Council-owned buildings	1	works - in particular those required for the Council's commercial and community assets - mean it will be some time before such an action plan could		
0035	27-Feb-2	24 Citywide Retrofit Strategy	regardless of whether or not the Council pays the energy bill.	In Part	be developed.	Yes - update to be requested in due course.	
			That the Council ensures appropriate internal expertise in relevant service areas to drive forward				
			projects and actions to achieve the Council's net zero ambitions where it has direct control in order				
			to release additional capacity and resource within the Environmental Sustainability team to enable				
			the continuation of its transition towards driving forward areas of work where the Council has		Officers in Environmental Sustainability, Corporate Property, Property Services and OX Place - are working closely together and with other teams to		
			influence and can shape and enable collaboration across the City to facilitate the achievement of th	e	ensure retrofit is built into the Council's 'business as usual' maintenance and property improvement works, and that zero carbon approaches will be		
0036	27-Feh-2	24 Citywide Retrofit Strategy	City's broader net zero ambitions.	Yes	applied where possible across new build.	Yes - update to be requested in due course.	
	27-160-2	2. exymac netront strategy	That the Council produces a guidance document and accompanying flow chart which clearly sets ou	#	oppred milite possible derosa new done.	ites aparte to be requested in due course.	
				•			
,			the process for the planting of new street trees on public land, including but not limited to the				
, I			mapping of existing empty tree pits within the City; responsibility for the replacement of dead or		The creation of a simple guidance document that explains how groups and individuals could support the funding of new trees on public		
			damaged trees; and a breakdown of the costs for planting and maintaining new street trees, with		land, including new street trees would be welcomed. However, such work should be taken forward in partnership with Oxfordshire County		
			information as to how those costs might be met by different organisations, groups and/or		Council, which has overall responsibility for street trees and empty tree pits. Officers will engage with colleagues at the County Council to		
0037	20-Mar-2	24 Tree Planting	individuals	In Part	discuss how such a guidance document could be commissioned.	Yes - update to be requested in due course.	
			That the Council produces a guidance document for the planting of new trees, and associated costs	·			
			on private land which is aimed at a diverse audience, including community groups, schools, private		An accessible guidance document for others to use to inform tree planting on their own land will be created as part of a suite of Council		
0038	20-Mar-2	24 Tree Planting	landowners and landlords.	Yes	communications around the 2024/25 tree planting season.	Yes - update to be requested in due course.	
_				/			
				/		No - response from Head of Planning and Regulatory Services: We've	
				/		investigted how policies within the service can promote and	
_						encourage the planting, retention and renewal of trees, with	
						particular reference to licensing schemes. As a regulatory service	
_						there are limitations in how we can contribute to this work in	
						relation to licensing. The legislation which governs licensing restricts	
				/		what Local Housing Authorities can include in the conditions we	
				/		attach to a licence. For HMOs the conditions are restricted to the	
				/		management, use, occupation, condition and contents of the house.	
						For non-HMOs, conditions are restricted to the management, use or	
				/		occupation of the property. Furthermore, there has been case law	
				/		that confirms it is unlawful to include conditions which improves or	
						upgrades houses or to install new facilities and equipment. Case law	
						has also determined that we could not offer incentives, for example	
				/			
				/		offering a reduced fee for planting a tree, because as the scheme is	
				/		cost neutral it would lead to an increase in the fees of other	
						landlords. The team have investigated whether any councils in this	
			That the Council, when it reviews its policies, explores how it could incentivise, promote and	/		country include the planting, retention, and renewal of trees within	
			encourage the planting, retention and renewal of trees through those policies - particularly within			their regulatory service policies and have not been able to identify	
0039	20-Mar-2	24 Tree Planting	Planning and Licensing.	Yes	This will be considered as part of the Council's review and updating of its existing Tree Management Policy.	any.	
			That the Council actively encourages tree planting within and around new community buildings and				
1			spaces to ensure alignment of these new projects and developments with the City's wider		The Council's draft Local Plan 2040 will require that all major developments should plant more trees, hedges and other greenery to meet		
0040	20-Mar-2	24 Tree Planting	environmental policies.	Yes	new minimum standards. It also increases the level of Biodiversity Net Gain for new housing and business development from 5% to 10%.	No - as more tree planting to be a policy under the Local Plan 2040	
			That the Council produces a high-level summary of current challenges and constraints impacting on		,		
			the deliverability of solar opportunities at Council car parks and keeps a watching brief on how		Officers will produce a high-level summary of current considerations, challenges and constraints impacting on the deliverability of PV		
			similar issues have been overcome in other areas so that any learning could be identified to support	+		Yes - undate on car parks/solar caponies included in Work Plan for	
0044	20.00	24 France Constanting (Color Datastician Coursell Dulle'			canopies at Council car parks. Officers will keep a watching brief on such developments in other areas and over time will seek learnings		
0041	20-Mar-2	24 Energy Generation/Solar Potential on Council Buildings	delivery in Oxford.	Yes	as appropriate.	20 November 2024.	
	1		That the Council prioritises projects on the basis of deliverability and impact when allocating				
1			financial and human resources.	1Vee		Yes - ongoing through Scrutiny of climate and environment issues.	
0042	20-Mar-2	24 Energy Generation/Solar Potential on Council Buildings		res	N/A	res ongoing through scrutiny of children and child on the issues.	
0042	20-Mar-2	24 Energy Generation/Solar Potential on Council Buildings	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy	res		res ongoing through scrutiny of climate and environment issues.	
0042			That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled	res	N/A Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to	Tes ongoing through serviciny or chinicite and chivino inferit issues.	
		24 Energy Generation/Solar Potential on Council Buildings 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy	Yes		Yes - to be checked once policy published.	
			That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled	Yes			
			That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so.	Yes			
			That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants	Yes			
043	11-Jun-2	-24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa	Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so".	Yes - to be checked once policy published.	
0043	11-Jun-2		That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broad rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 for context.	Yes			
0043	11-Jun-2	-24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 or context. That the Council, within Policy TP5 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach	Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so".	Yes - to be checked once policy published.	
0043	11-Jun-2	-24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 for context. That the Council, which Policy TP5 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between existing trees and hard surfaces (in addition to its approach to	Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed.	Yes - to be checked once policy published.	
0043	11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2	24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2033	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between existing trees and hard surfaces), including specific reference to standard	Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed. Agreed.	Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published.	
0043	11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2	-24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 for context. That the Council, within Policy TP5 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 (for context. That the Council, within Policy TP5 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between existing trees and hard surfaces), including specific reference to standard proactive methodologies used to address those conflicts.	Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed. Agreed. Agreed. Where the Council owns existing street trees the assessment of any conflicts with hard surfaces will be picked up proactively as part of cyclical surveying. We will refer to the standards for doing this.	Yes - to be checked once policy published.	
0043	11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2	24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2033	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between existing trees and hard surfaces), including specific reference to standard proactive methodologies used to address those conflicts. That the Council, within Policy TPS of the Store Conflicts. That the Council ensures to address those conflicts.	Yes Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed. Agreed.	Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published.	
0043	11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2	24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2033	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 for context. That the Council, within Policy TP5 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 (for context. That the Council, within Policy TP5 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between existing trees and hard surfaces), including specific reference to standard proactive methodologies used to address those conflicts.	Yes Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed. Agreed. Agreed. Where the Council owns existing street trees the assessment of any conflicts with hard surfaces will be picked up proactively as part of cyclical surveying. We will refer to the standards for doing this.	Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published.	
)043)044)045	11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2	24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2033	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between existing trees and hard surfaces), including specific reference to standard proactive methodologies used to address those conflicts. That the Council, within Policy TPS of the Store Conflicts. That the Council ensures to address those conflicts.	Yes Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed. Agreed. Agreed. Where the Council owns existing street trees the assessment of any conflicts with hard surfaces will be picked up proactively as part of cyclical surveying. We will refer to the standards for doing this. The City Council will always work in partnership with relevant statutory undertakers. However, we need to be clear that this policy is focused on	Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published.	
043 044 045	11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2	24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2033 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2034	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 for context. That the Council, within Policy TP5 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between existing trees and hard surfaces (in addition to its approach to managing conflicts between new trees and hard surfaces), including specific reference to standard proactive methodologies used to address those conflicts. That the Council explicitly recognises the need to work in partnership with the County Council and/or Highways on street tree issues and includes a reference to this within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032.	Yes Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed. Agreed. Where the Council owns existing street trees the assessment of any conflicts with hard surfaces will be picked up proactively as part of cyclical surveying. We will refer to the standards for doing this. The City Council will always work in partnership with relevant statutory undertakers. However, we need to be clear that this policy is focused on trees within the City Council's ownership, and not trees that the County Council is responsible for. There is a danger that a reference to the County	Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published.	
0043 0044 0045 0046	11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2	24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2033 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2034 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2035	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 for context. That the Council, within Policy TP5 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between existing trees and hard surfaces (in addition to its approach to managing conflicts between new trees and hard surfaces), including specific reference to standard proactive methodologies used to address those conflicts. That the Council explicitly recognises the need to work in partnership with the County Council and/or Highways on street tree issues and includes a reference to this within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032.	Yes Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed. Agreed. Agreed. Where the Council owns existing street trees the assessment of any conflicts with hard surfaces will be picked up proactively as part of cyclical surveying. We will refer to the standards for doing this. The City Council will always work in partnership with relevant statutory undertakers. However, we need to be clear that this policy is focused on trees within the City Council's ownership, and not trees that the County Council is responsible for. There is a danger that a reference to the County Council would confuse this matter.	Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published. No - as recommendation not accepted.	
0043 0044 0045 0046	11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2	24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2033 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2034	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 for context. That the Council, within Policy TP5 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between existing trees and hard surfaces (in addition to its approach to managing conflicts between new trees and hard surfaces), including specific reference to standard proactive methodologies used to address those conflicts. That the Council explicitly recognises the need to work in partnership with the County Council and/or Highways on street tree issues and includes a reference to this within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032.	Yes Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed. Agreed. Where the Council owns existing street trees the assessment of any conflicts with hard surfaces will be picked up proactively as part of cyclical surveying. We will refer to the standards for doing this. The City Council will always work in partnership with relevant statutory undertakers. However, we need to be clear that this policy is focused on trees within the City Council's ownership, and not trees that the County Council is responsible for. There is a danger that a reference to the County	Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published.	
0043 0044 0045 0046	11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2	24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2033 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2034 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2035	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within Policy TP5 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between new trees and hard surfaces), including specific reference to standard proactive methodologies used to address those conflicts. That the Council, which itdy regists the need to work in partnership with the Council and/or Highways on street tree issues and includes a reference to this within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council explicitly recognises the need to work in partnership with the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council explicitly recognises the need to work in partnership with the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032.	Yes Yes t Yes Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed. Agreed. Agreed. Where the Council owns existing street trees the assessment of any conflicts with hard surfaces will be picked up proactively as part of cyclical surveying. We will refer to the standards for doing this. The City Council will always work in partnership with relevant statutory undertakers. However, we need to be clear that this policy is focused on trees within the City Council's ownership, and not trees that the County Council is responsible for. There is a danger that a reference to the County Council would confuse this matter.	Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published. No - as recommendation not accepted.	
0042 0043 0044 0045 0045 0046	11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2	24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2033 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2034 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2035	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 for context. That the Council, within Policy TPS of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between existing trees and hard surfaces (in addition to its approach to managing conflicts between new trees and hard surfaces), including specific reference to standard proactive methodologies used to address those conflicts. That the Council explicitly recognises the need to work in partnership with the Council and/or Highways on street tree issues and includes a reference to this within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council explands section 6 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2	Yes Yes t Yes Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed. Agreed. Agreed. Where the Council owns existing street trees the assessment of any conflicts with hard surfaces will be picked up proactively as part of cyclical surveying. We will refer to the standards for doing this. The City Council will always work in partnership with relevant statutory undertakers. However, we need to be clear that this policy is focused on trees within the City Council's ownership, and not trees that the County Council is responsible for. There is a danger that a reference to the County Council would confuse this matter.	Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published. No - as recommendation not accepted.	
0043 0044 0045 0046	11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2	24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2033 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2034 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2035	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 or context. That the Council, within Policy TPS of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between existing trees and hard surfaces), including specific reference to standard proactive methodologies used to address those conflicts. That the Council explicitly recognises the need to work in partnership with the Council and/or Highways on street tree issues and includes a reference to this within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council explored section 6 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 (communication with the public, stakeholders and Members) to set out the Council's commitment to encouraging local	Yes Yes t No Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed. Agreed. Agreed. Where the Council owns existing street trees the assessment of any conflicts with hard surfaces will be picked up proactively as part of cyclical surveying. We will refer to the standards for doing this. The City Council will always work in partnership with relevant statutory undertakers. However, we need to be clear that this policy is focused on trees within the City Council's ownership, and not trees that the County Council is responsible for. There is a danger that a reference to the County Council would confuse this matter.	Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published. No - as recommendation not accepted.	
0043 0044 0045 0046	11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2 11-Jun-2	24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2033 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2034 24 Tree Management Policy 2024-2035	That the Council makes an explicit commitment within Policy ST8 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2023 that it will plant replacement trees in the same location as the tree that was felled wherever possible and/or practicable to do so. That the Council ensures clarity within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 on why it plants trees in the way that it does – including cross-referencing with other documents to ensure the broa rationale is articulated within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032 for context. That the Council, within Policy TPS of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032, clarifies its approach to managing conflicts between existing trees and hard surfaces (in addition to its approach to managing conflicts between new trees and hard surfaces), including specific reference to standard proactive methodologies used to address those conflicts. That the Council explicitly recognises the need to work in partnership with the Council and/or Highways on street tree issues and includes a reference to this within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council contextualises the number of street trees it owns within the Tree Management Policy 2024-2032. That the Council explands section 6 of the Tree Management Policy 2024-2	Yes Yes t No Yes	Yes, although it needs to be both possible and practicable, so we propose to remove to "or", so it would read "wherever possible and practicable to do so". Agreed. Agreed. Agreed. Where the Council owns existing street trees the assessment of any conflicts with hard surfaces will be picked up proactively as part of cyclical surveying. We will refer to the standards for doing this. The City Council will always work in partnership with relevant statutory undertakers. However, we need to be clear that this policy is focused on trees within the City Council's ownership, and not trees that the County Council is responsible for. There is a danger that a reference to the County Council would confuse this matter.	Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published. Yes - to be checked once policy published. No - as recommendation not accepted.	

					No - response from Head of Planning and Regulatory Services: We've
					investigted how policies within the service can promote and
					encourage the planting, retention and renewal of trees, with
					particular reference to licensing schemes. As a regulatory service
					there are limitations in how we can contribute to this work in
					relation to licensing. The legislation which governs licensing restricts
					what Local Housing Authorities can include in the conditions we
					attach to a licence. For HMOs the conditions are restricted to the
					management, use, occupation, condition and contents of the house.
					For non-HMOs, conditions are restricted to the management, use or
					occupation of the property. Furthermore, there has been case law
					that confirms it is unlawful to include conditions which improves or
					upgrades houses or to install new facilities and equipment. Case law
					has also determined that we could not offer incentives, for example
					offering a reduced fee for planting a tree, because as the scheme is
					cost neutral it would lead to an increase in the fees of other
		That the Council explores how other local authorities have sought to incentivise, promote and			landlords. The team have investigated whether any councils in this
		encourage the planting, retention and renewal of trees on private land through their policies,			country include the planting, retention, and renewal of trees within
		particularly in relation to HMOs and Selective Licensing, to inform future updates to the Council's			their regulatory service policies and have not been able to identify
0049 11-lun-2	4 Tree Management Policy 2024-2038	Urban Forest Strategy.	Yes	Agreed.	anv.
		That the Council explicitly recognises the importance of mature trees within the Tree Management			
		Policy 2024- 2032 and highlights the need for the Planning process to be sympathetic to mature and		The policy will be updated to recognise the importance of mature trees. However, it is for planning policy to set out how trees are dealt with through	
0050 11-Jun-2	4 Tree Management Policy 2024-2039	existing trees.			Yes - to be checked once policy published.
		That, through the Framework and more broadly across the Council's procurement processes, the			
		Council encourages the submission of bids from suppliers which are representative of the local		Consideration will be made when creating the Framework with criteria included around social value and equality but keeping within the legal	
	Framework for the Installation of Renewable Technologies in	population of Oxford, particularly those with strong female and ethnic minority representation, to		requirements which apply to procurement processes and the principal aim of procuring high-quality goods and services at best value to the	
0051 11-Jun-2	Council Owned Properties	ensure that 'green growth' is as inclusive as possible.			Yes - update to be requested in due course.
11 301 2		00			